



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/615,768	07/10/2003	Hideaki Nakakita	240129US2RD	9465

22850 7590 08/04/2005

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER

CUMMING, WILLIAM D

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2683

DATE MAILED: 08/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/615,768

Applicant(s)

NAKAKITA, ET AL

Examiner

WILLIAM D. CUMMING

Art Unit

2683

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 June 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-15 and 33-35 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 16-18, 20 and 25-32 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 19 and 21-24 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/7/03</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 1-15 and 33-35 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on June 13, 2005.

2. Applicants are reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because it contains an embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. Applicants are required to delete the embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. See MPEP § 608.01.

4. The incorporation of essential material in the specification by reference to an unpublished U.S. application, foreign application or patent, or to a publication is improper. Applicants are required to amend the disclosure to include the material incorporated by reference, if the material is relied upon to overcome any objection, rejection, or other requirement imposed by the Office. The amendment must be accompanied by a statement executed by the applicant, or a practitioner representing the applicant, stating that the material being inserted is the material previously incorporated by reference and that the amendment contains no new matter. 37 CFR 1.57(f).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

6. Claims 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The specification fails to provide an enabling disclosure of the *ad hoc* mode as defined by IEEE 802.11. The incorporation of essential material in the specification by reference to an unpublished U.S. application, foreign application or patent, or to a publication is improper.

7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

8. Claims 17, 18, 28, and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 17 and 18, the term "*ad hoc*" is indefinite by definition. Also, The use of "*protocols*", protocols and standards change over time, hence, it is inappropriate to have the scope of a claim change with time. Since organizations implementing standards meet regularly and have the authority to modify standards, any connection a claim may have to these standards may vary over time. The other aspect arising from this is enablement. If the

standard changes, the disclosure may no longer support the limitation. If the scope of the invention sought to be patented cannot be determined from the language of the claims, a second paragraph rejection is appropriate (In re Wiggins, 179 USPQ 421).

Claim 28 and 32, because of the term "*should be*", it is unclear that the transmission is or is not prohibited.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

10. Claims 16 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by **Yoshimine, et al.**

Yoshimine, et al disclose a transmitting device (figure 1, #101, 109, 102) for transmitting contents for which a copyright protection is necessary ("*Once such reservation registration processing is completed, a Web page urging the user to agree to the copyright control and prohibitions, etc. when the service is used is sent to the user PC 106 and displayed on the display screen of the user PC 106. Then, upon reception of agreement from the user PC 106, the live*

casting server 150 sends a Web page informing of the completion of the reservation procedure except reconfirmation to the user PC 106. FIG. 24 shows a reservation procedure completion screen displayed on the display screen of the user PC 106. As shown in this figure, this reservation procedure completion screen displays a message urging the user to reconfirm. If the "OK" button 245 is clicked, the reservation procedure except reconfirmation is completed and hereinafter, the live casting server 150 sends an e-mail about the reservation including the reservation ID created, to the user PC 106 (step Sb9)."), to one or more receiving devices (#107) through a network (#103). The transmitting device (#101, 109, 102) comprising a contents supply unit (#102) configured to store or generate the contents. A communication processing unit (figure 12, #153) configured to select a communication mode in case of carrying out communications with receiving devices. A device authentication and key exchange processing unit (#153, 155) configured to determine a receiving device (#107) number indicating a number of receiving devices (#107) with which communications can be carried out, according to the communication mode selected by the communication processing unit (#153), and carry out device authentication and key exchange with receiving devices in number which is less than or equal to the receiving device number ("When the above-described live distribution is performed, the content creator needs to send motion picture data to the streaming server in real time, and it is necessary to secure a communication path to transmit motion picture data between the streaming

server and a personal computer (hereinafter referred to as "PC") of the content creator while live distribution is performed. This limits the number of content that can be distributed by live distribution using the streaming server during the same period, and in a rush time zone, there is a problem that the content creator who has been preparing for live distribution in the time zone may not perform live distribution in that time zone" and "The status window SW in the live distribution mode shows on-air information that indicates that distribution is in progress, distribution elapsed time information indicating the elapsed time after distribution is started, time information on the service provider side, time information on the user PC 106 side, reserved time zone information that indicates reservation start time and reservation end time, image size information, bit rate information indicating the distribution data transmission rate (bit rate), distributed image data title name information, connection destination information indicating the connected streaming server 102 and its channel and audience number information indicating the number of clients who receive content data stream-distributed by the streaming server 102, etc"). An encryption processing unit (#150) configured to encrypt the contents ("The live casting server 150 that has created the reservation setting information file in the form of text data, etc. including the various kinds of data and commands above encrypts this file using an encryption system such as data encryption standard (DES), etc. and sends this encryption file together with the Web page that displays the reconfirmation completion screen to the user PC 106 over the Internet 103 (step Sb15). ") by

using a key exchanged ("Returning to FIG. 12, the reservation database 151 stores information on the reservation situation of live distribution and billing resulting from the reservation. As shown in FIG. 18, the reservation database 151 stores the reservation contents information including the reservation time zone, channel to be used, band to be used (bit per second (bps)), etc. for each reservation, in association with user ID to identify the user, billing flag information to indicate whether the reservation is established and billing is possible at this time and reservation ID used for authentication of the time when the reserved distribution is carried out. Each item of these information will be written during reservation processing, etc. by the live casting server 150 which will be described later and each item of i information stored with in the authentication processing by the database server 155 which will be described later will be referenced") by the device authentication and key exchange processing unit (#150) and a network interface unit (#154) configured to transmit the contents encrypted by the encryption processing unit (#150), to the receiving devices (#107) in number which is less than or equal to the receiving device number.




FIG. 1

Regarding claim 20, note figure 39.




FIG. 39

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

12. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

13. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

14. Claims 17 and 25-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Yoshimine, et al** in view of **Senshu**.

Yoshimine, et al disclose all subject matter, note the above paragraphs, except for a key that prohibits copies. **Senshu** discloses a key that prohibits copies (note abstract and summary of invention) in a device (figure 1) for the purpose of preventing copying of data and effectively protect data.

Hence, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made to incorporate the use of discloses a key that prohibits copies, as taught by **Senshu**, for the purpose of preventing copying of data and effectively protect data, in the transmitting device of **Yoshimine, et al** in order to prevent copying of data.





FIG. 12

Regarding claim 17, applicants admit that ad hoc mode and IEEE 802.11 is not invented by them and is old and well known.



Allowable Subject Matter

15. As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicants' reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).

16. Claims 19, 21, 22, 23, and 24, are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Information Disclosure Statement

17. The information disclosure statement filed October 7, 2005 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3) because it does not include a concise explanation of the relevance, as it is presently understood by the individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) most knowledgeable about the content of the information, of each patent listed that is not in the English language. The examiner has taken the English abstract as the concise explanation of the relevance, as it is presently understood by the individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) most knowledgeable about the content of the information, of each patent listed that is not in the English language. If the English abstract is not concise explanation of the relevance, as it is presently understood by the individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) most knowledgeable about the content of the information, of each patent listed that is not in the English language, it is the duty of applicants to inform the examiner as such and supply concise explanation of the relevance, as it is presently understood by the individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) most knowledgeable about the content of the information, of each patent listed that is not in the English language.


Conclusion

18. If applicants wish to request for an interview, an *"Applicant Initiated Interview Request"* form (PTOL-413A) should be submitted to the examiner prior to the interview in order to permit the examiner to prepare in advance for the interview and to focus on the issues to be discussed. This form should identify the participants of the interview, the proposed date of the interview, whether the interview will be personal, telephonic, or video conference, and should include a brief description of the issues to be discussed. A copy of the completed *"Applicant Initiated Interview Request"* form should be attached to the Interview Summary form, PTOL-413 at the completion of the interview and a copy should be given to applicant or applicant's representative.

19. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **WILLIAM D. CUMMING** whose telephone number is 571-272-7861. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Wednesday, 11:00am to 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Trost can be reached on 571-272-7872. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

20. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


WILLIAM D. CUMMING
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2683

Wdc



UNITED STATES
PATENT AND
TRADEMARK OFFICE
